UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,652	06/24/2003	Masatoshi Yokota	0754-0192P	1286
	7590 06/02/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747			HUNTER, ALVIN A	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3711	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/02/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

		Application No.	Annlicant(c)				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/601,652	YOKOTA, MASATOSHI				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TI MANUNO DATE (4)		ALVIN A. HUNTER	3711				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE and the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirviill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ma</u>	<u>arch 2009</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6,7,9 and 11-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6,7,9 and 11-13</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
اـــا(٥	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11)	The path or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached ⊖πice	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign X All b) Some * c) None of:)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen		_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F					

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 9, and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Having a range 0.2 to 1.25mm for the cover is not supported. Page 18 of the specification shows the core being 41.2mm and page 19 shows the golf ball having a diameter of 42.7 or 42.8mm. The thickness of the cover would be 0.75 or 0.8mm. Appropriate correction required. Also see In re Wertheim, 541 F.2d 257.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 9, and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu (USPN 5908358) in view of Iwami (JP 2002-078824).

Application/Control Number: 10/601,652

Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

Regarding claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 9, and 11-13, Wu discloses a thermosetting urethane golf ball cover wherein the thermosetting urethane resin composition comprises an isocyanate group-terminated urethane prepolymer and a polyamine compound covering a core having a Young's modulus, also known as modulus of elasticity or stiffness modulus, from about 5000 to 100000 psi, or 34.5 to 689.5 MP, a (See claim 1 of Wu). In table 1, Wu shows examples of golf balls in which bear the cover of the present invention wherein it is shown that the covers have a hardness of 51 to 58 Shore D. Wu, through the examples, show the cover having a thickness of about 1.3mm (See Table 1) because the diameter of the golf ball is 1.68 inches and the center is 1.50 inches resulting in the cover thickness being ((1.68-1.58)/2)x25.4mm. From the above, a modulus of at least 102 to 116 MPa would satisfy the applicant's criteria, and therefore, would anticipate the above claims. Further, applicant does not disclose why 1.25mm is moreso advantageous than 1.3mm. On page 10 of the applicant's specification, the most critical range is disclosed as being 0.3 to 1.3mm. One having ordinary skill in the art would not conclude that 1.25mm would perform different than 1.3mm. Based on the above in comparison with Wu, the cover thickness claimed by the applicant would be an obvious matter of design choice. Wu discloses that the types of polyurethane that may be used are of thermoplastic and thermoset type in which examples of how those types are made. Wu does not limit the polyurethane to having the types of isocyanates disclosed. Wu also established that alicylic isocyanates, which inherently has color stabilizing characteristics, can also be used to produce thermoset polyurethane (See Column 5, lines 39 through 50). Wu discloses the use of 4'-

diaminodipheynlmethane but does not disclose the polyamine being 3, 3'-deithyl-5, 5'-dimethyl-4,4'-diaminodipheynlmethane. Iwami discloses a golf ball having a cover of a polyurethane composition made of a isocyanate group-terminated urethane prepolymer and a polyamine wherein the isocyanate are 4,4'-dicyclohexylmethane diisocyanate, isophorone diisocyanate, etc., and the polyamine desired is 4'-diaminodipheynlmethane and derivative thereof where 3, 3'-deithyl-5,5'-dimethyl-4,4'-diaminodipheynlmethane is noted as being a derivative thereof (See Paragraphs 0018 to 0026)). One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to use the above polyamine as taught by Iwami, within the composition of Wu in order to improve thermal resistance. Further, one having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to use the above isocyanates, taught by Iwami, within the composition of Wu in order to improve weatherability, water resistance, and resilience.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 9, and 11-13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALVIN A. HUNTER whose telephone number is (571)272-4411. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30AM to 4:00PM Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eugene Kim, can be reached on 571-272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/601,652

Page 6

Art Unit: 3711

Examiner, Art Unit 3711